



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 4491		
10/042,847 01/08/20		01/08/2002	Priyank Ramesh Warkhede	28845			
26327	7590	01/26/2005		EXAMINER			
		OF KIRK D. WII	BATAILLE, PIERRE MICHE				
1234 S. OGI DENVER, (0	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
				2186			

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				Applicati n N .		Applicant(s)			
	0.00	A - A O		10/042,847 WARKHEDE ET AL		AL.			
Offi		c Action Summary		Examin r		Art Unit			
				Pierre-Michel Bataille		2186			
Period fo		ING DATE of this commu	nication app	ears on the cover shee	t with the co	orresp ndence ad	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)⊠	Responsiv	ve to communication(s) file	ed on <u>08 Ja</u>	nuary 2002.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action	n is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	on of Clai	ms							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1	-44 is/are pending in the	application.						
•	Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	5) Claim(s) 18-25,40 and 41 is/are allowed.								
`	∑ Claim(s) <u>9,10 and 28</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) 1	-8,11-17,26,27 and 29-39	is/are obje	cted to.					
8)□	Claim(s) _	are subject to restri	ction and/or	election requirement.					
Application	on Papers								
9)[] 7	The specifi	cation is objected to by the	ne Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) 🔲 🗆	Γhe oath o	r declaration is objected t	o by the Exa	aminer. Note the attac	hed Office	Action or form PT	O-152.		
Priority u	nder 35 U	.S.C. § 119							
12) <u> </u>	Acknowled	gment is made of a claim	for foreign	oriority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-	·(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:									
	1. Cerl	ified copies of the priority	documents	have been received.					
	2. Cert	ified copies of the priority	documents	have been received in	n Applicatio	on No			
;	3. ☐ Cop	ies of the certified copies	of the priori	ty documents have be	en receive	d in this National	Stage		
		ication from the Internation		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
		•	•				. •		
Attachment(s)									
		es Cited (PTO-892)			ew Summary (
		son's Patent Drawing Review (I			No(s)/Mail Dat	te Itent Application (PTC) 152\		
		sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or late <u>03/01/02</u> .	r PTO/SB/08)	6) Other:		кел Афрікацоп (РТС	J- 102)		

Application/Control Number: 10/042,847 Page 2

Art Unit: 2186

DETAILED ACTION

1. The instant Office Action is taken in conjunction pro prosecution of the present application currently presenting claims 1-44 for examination.

2. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-8, 11-17, 26-27, and 29-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US 5,920,886 (Feldmeier).

With respect to claims 1, 26 and 34, Feldmeier discloses a method and apparatus performing hierarchical address translation using binary and ternary CAM representations comprising: generating a first representation of a hierarchical relationship among a plurality of first prefixes (generating a priority field representing a hierarchical level of a ternary/binary hierarchical level addresses); determining an optimized representation of the hierarchical relationship among the plurality of first prefixes (searching the CAM for an address to b translated matching th

Application/Control Number: 10/042,847

Art Unit: 2186

addresses in CAM to determine which matching ntries have the highest hierarchical level); generating a mapping of the plurality of first prefixes into a plurality of second prefixes based on the optimized representation (performing the hierarchical ternary/binary address translation) [Abstract; Col. 5, Lines 46 to Col. 6, Line 20].

With respect to claims 4, 5, 14, 27, 31, 33, 37, Fledmeier discloses the system comprising causing an associative memory *(CAM)* to be programmed with the plurality of second prefixes *[Col. 6, Lines 9-19]*, the associative memory includes a binary or ternary content-addressable memory *[Abstract; Col. 5, Line 36 to Col. 6, Line 19]*.

With respect to claim 6, Fledmeier discloses storing the plurality of second prefixes in a data structure [Col. 5, Lines 47-49].

With respect to claim 7, Fledmeier discloses maintaining a data structure indicating the mapping *[Col. 6, Lines 9-19]*.

With respect to claims 8 and 29, Fledmeier discloses the plurality of first prefixes include a network address [Col. 6, Lines 20-26].

With respect to claim 11, Fledmeier discloses the plurality of second prefixes includes a match all prefix [Col. 6, Lines 11-19].

With respect to claim 13, Fledmeier discloses determining a set of mapped lookup values based on the optimized representation *[Col. 5, Line 36 to Col. 6, Line 19]*.

With respect to claims 13, 32, and 38-39, Fledmeier discloses determining a set of mapped lookup values based on the optimized representation and generating a

Application/Control Number: 10/042,847

Art Unit: 2186

lookup value from the set of mapped lookup values based on a particular value [Col. 5, Lines 46 to Col. 6, Line 20].

With respect to claim 15, Fledmeier discloses receiving a set of information including a first value; generating a lookup value from the set of mapped lookup values based on first value; and generating a lookup word based the lookup value [Col. 5, Lines 46 to Col. 6, Line 20].

With respect to claim 16, Fledmeier teaches an associative memory (CAM) to be programmed with the plurality of second prefixes and initiating a lookup operation on the associative memory using the lookup word [Abstract; Col. 5, Line 36 to Col. 6, Line 19].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2-3, 12, and 35-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,920,886 (Fledmeier) in view of US 5,528,701 (Aref).

With respect to claims 2-3 and 35-36, Fledmeier teaches the invention as claimed, but fails to specifically teach the first and second or optimized representations including a Trie. However, Aref teaches a system and method for matching input data in a Trie database structure having a plurality of nodes, the nodes being partitioned into a

plurality of levels with respective identified elements [abstract Col. 3, Line 66 to Col. 4, Line 5]. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have a trie representation in the hierarchy because a trie at a hierarchical level is best used to provide an effective and efficient way of accessing certain types of data and other data associated with the accessed type of data in an associative manner; and the Trie would have, therefore, provided a way of determining if one single matching input is proper at multiple levels as the input is applied at each level and search for the best representation. A Trie proves compact and easily searchable implementations of an IP routing table structure which can store both unicast and multicast addresses with the same average search times.

With respect to claim 12, Fledmeier teaches the invention as claimed but fails to teach the plurality of second prefixes includes a node for an internal node of the first representation. However, Aref teaches a system and method for matching input data in a trie database structure, the nodes being partitioned into a plurality of levels with respective identified elements and applied to a multimode structure [abstract; Col. 9, Lines 45-53; Col. 3, Line 66 to Col. 4, Line 5]. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have a trie representation in the hierarchy because a trie at a hierarchical level is best used to provide an effective and efficient way of accessing certain types of data and other data associated with the type of data in an associative manner. Therefore, it would have provided a way of determining if one single matching input is proper at multiple levels as the input is applied at each level and search for the best representation. A Trie proves compact and easily searchable

Application/Control Number: 10/042,847 Page 6

Art Unit: 2186

implementations of an IP routing table structure which can store both unicast and multicast addresses with the same average search times.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 18-25 and 40-41 are allowed.
- 8. Claims 9-10 and 28 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US 20030174717 (Zabarski et al) teaches the technique, referred as the Optimized Multi-bit Trie (OMT) approach, mapping a routing table having prefix entries and next hop identification (NHID) values into a compact and readily searchable data structure.

US 6,717,946 (Park et al) teaches an associative memory storing mapped subtrie ranges with a mapping engine receiving a particular value and generates a lookup word including a mapped representation of the particular value.

"IP-Address Lookup Using LC-Tries," Stefan Nilsson and Gunnar Karlsson, IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, page(s) 1083-1092 Jun. 1999.

Art Unit: 2186

Fast IP routing with LC-Tries; achieving gbit/see speed in software, (Internet/Web/Online Service Information); Stefan Nilsson et al.; Dr. Dobb's Journal; July 2, 1998.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pierre-Michel Bataille whose telephone number is (571) 272-4178. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (9:30A to 6:00P).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew M. Kim can be reached on (571) 272-4182. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pierre-Michel Bataille Primary Examiner Art Unit 2186

January 14, 2005

PIERRE BATAILLE PRIMARY EXAMINER